

[Roll No. 569]

YEAS—358

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Aderholt
Akin
Alexander
Allen
Andrews
Baca
Bachus
Baird
Baldwin
Barrett (SC)
Barrow
Barton (TX)
Bass
Bean
Beauprez
Berkley
Berman
Biggart
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (NY)
Bishop (UT)
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Blunt
Boehner
Bonilla
Bonner
Bono
Boozman
Boren
Boucher
Boustany
Bradley (NH)
Brown (OH)
Brown (SC)
Brown, Corrine
Burgess
Burton (IN)
Butterfield
Camp
Cannon
Cantor
Capito
Capps
Capuano
Cardin
Cardoza
Carnahan
Carson
Carter
Case
Castle
Chabot
Chandler
Chocola
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Coble
Cole (OK)
Conaway
Conyers
Cooper
Costa
Costello
Cramer
Crenshaw
Crowley
Cuellar
Culberson
Cummings
Cunningham
Davis (AL)
Davis (CA)
Davis (IL)
Davis (KY)
Davis (TN)
Davis, Tom
Deal (GA)
DeGette
Delahunt
DeLauro
DeLay
Dent
Diaz-Balart, L.
Diaz-Balart, M.
Dingell
Doggett
Doolittle
Doyle
Drake
Dreier
Edwards

Ehlers
Emanuel
Engel
English (PA)
Eshoo
Etheridge
Evans
Everett
Farr
Fattah
Feeney
Ferguson
Fitzpatrick (PA)
Foley
Forbes
Fortenberry
Fossella
Foxy
Frank (MA)
Frelinghuysen
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gingrey
Gohmert
Gonzalez
Gordon
Granger
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Hall
Harman
Harris
Hart
Hastings (WA)
Hayworth
Herger
Herse
Higgins
Hinojosa
Hobson
Hoekstra
Holden
Holt
Honda
Hooley
Hunter
Hyde
Inglis (SC)
Insee
Israel
Istook
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee
(TX)
Jefferson
Jindal
Johnson (CT)
Johnson (IL)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jones (OH)
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kelly
Kennedy (MN)
Kennedy (RI)
Kildee
Kilpatrick (MI)
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kirk
Kline
Knollenberg
Kolbe
Kucinich
Kuhl (NY)
LaHood
Langevin
Lantos
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latham
LaTourette
Leach
Lee
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Lewis (KY)
Linder
Lipinski
LoBiondo

Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lungrun, Daniel
E.
Lynch
Mack
Maloney
Manzullo
Marchant
Markley
Marshall
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy
McCaul (TX)
McCollum (MN)
McCotter
McCrery
McDermott
McGovern
McHenry
McHugh
McIntyre
McKeon
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Menendez
Mica
Michaud
Millender-
McDonald
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, George
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (VA)
Murphy
Murtha
Musgrave
Myrick
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal (MA)
Neugebauer
Ney
Northup
Nussle
Oberstar
Obey
Oliver
Owens
Oxley
Pallone
Pascarell
Pastor
Payne
Pearce
Pelosi
Pence
Peterson (MN)
Peterson (PA)
Pickering
Pitts
Platts
Pomeroy
Porter
Price (GA)
Price (NC)
Pryce (OH)
Putnam
Radanovich
Ramstad
Rangel
Regula
Rehberg
Reichert
Renzi
Reyes
Reynolds
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Ros-Lehtinen
Ross
Rothman
Royce
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sabo
Salazar

Sánchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sanders
Saxton
Schakowsky
Schmidt
Schwartz (PA)
Schwarz (MI)
Scott (GA)
Scott (VA)
Serrano
Sessions
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Sherman
Sherwood
Shimkus
Shuster
Simmons
Simpson
Skeltan
Slaughter
Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Sodrel
Solis
Souder
Spratt
Strickland
Stupak
Sweeney
Tauscher
Taylor (NC)
Terry
Thomas
Thompson (CA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tierney
Towns
Turner
Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Upton
Van Hollen
Velázquez

Visclosky
Walden (OR)
Walsh
Wamp
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Wexler
Whitfield
Wicker
Wilson (NM)
Wilson (SC)
Wolf
Woolsey
Wu
Wynn
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NAYS—39

Bartlett (MD)
Berry
Cubin
Davis, Jo Ann
DeFazio
Duncan
Flake
Franks (AZ)
Gibbons
Goode
Goodlatte
Graves
Green (WI)

Gutknecht
Hayes
Hefley
Hensarling
Hostettler
Hulshof
Jenkins
Jones (NC)
Keller
Lucas
Melancon
Miller (FL)
Moran (KS)

Otter
Paul
Petri
Rahall
Rohrabacher
Ryun (KS)
Sensenbrenner
Stark
Stearns
Tancredo
Tanner
Taylor (MS)
Westmoreland

NOT VOTING—36

Baker
Becerra
Boehlert
Boswell
Boyd
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Brown-Waite,
Ginny
Buyer
Calvert
Davis (FL)
Dicks

Emerson
Filner
Ford
Gallegly
Gutierrez
Hastings (FL)
Hinchey
Hoyer
Issa
Kind
McMorris
Miller, Gary
Norwood

Nunes
Ortiz
Osborne
Poe
Pombo
Roybal-Allard
Ryan (WI)
Schiff
Sullivan
Thompson (MS)
Tiahrt

□ 1113

Mr. GOODLATTE and Mr. WEST-MORELAND changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. OSBORNE. Mr. Speaker, due to obligations in my district, I was unable to vote on the Conference Report on H.R. 3057, the Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. However, had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on the Conference Report on H.R. 3057.

Mrs. EMERSON. Mr. Speaker, due to an unavoidable scheduling conflict, I was unable to vote on the Conference Report on H.R. 3057, the Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, on November 4, I missed rollcall vote No. 569. Rollcall vote No. 569 was on agreeing to the conference report making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, on the legislative day of Friday, November 4, 2005, I was unavoidably detained with family matters and was unable to cast a vote on rollcall vote No. 569. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on this vote.

Mr. ORTIZ. Mr. Speaker, due to important business in my district, I was unable to vote during the following rollcall votes. Had I been present, I would have voted as indicated below:

Rollcall vote No. 569, “yea.”

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 569, on H.R. 3057, I was in my Congressional District on official business. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent from this chamber today. I would like the RECORD to show that, had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall vote No. 569.

Stated against:

Mr. POMBO. Mr. Speaker, I missed recorded votes today in order to be with my family in California for an unexpected family emergency. I would like my intentions made known for my constituents in the 11th district. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on rollcall No. 569, the Conference Report on H.R. 3057—Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 3304

Mr. KUHLMAN of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 3304.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LUCAS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4011

Mr. BUTTERFIELD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 4011.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

□ 1115

AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR H.R. 1751, SECURE ACCESS TO JUSTICE AND COURT PROTECTION ACT OF 2005

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the Rules Committee may meet next week to grant a rule which could limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 1751, the Secure Access to Justice and Court Protection Act of 2005.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief

explanation of the amendment to the Rules Committee in room H-312 of the Capitol by 12 noon on Tuesday, November 8, 2005. Members should draft their amendments to the bill as ordered reported by the Judiciary Committee on October 27, 2005, which is expected to be filed with the House next week. Members are also advised that the text should be available for their review on the Web sites of both the Judiciary Committee and the Rules Committee by this afternoon, November 4.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments are drafted in the most appropriate format and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain that their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. LEWIS of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I yield to my friend and colleague, the chairman of the Rules Committee, to inquire about the schedule for next week.

Mr. DREIER. I thank the gentleman for yielding. Obviously, the House has completed its work for the day and for the week.

We will convene at 12:30 on Monday for morning hour and at 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider several measures under suspension of the rules. A final list of those measures to be scheduled will be getting to Members' offices by the end of the day. Any votes that may be called on those measures will be rolled until 6:30 Monday afternoon or early Monday evening.

For Tuesday and the balance of the week, if the gentleman will continue to yield, the House will consider additional legislation under suspension of the rules, as well as two measures, the one I just mentioned, H.R. 1751, and I announced the filing of amendments for that, and also the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, which is much talked about here. We also anticipate that the House will next week consider additional appropriations conference reports as they become available.

On Friday, we will not be in session, as Members know, so that there will be an opportunity for all of us to participate in Veterans' Day ceremonies that take place in our districts.

Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding; and I would be happy to entertain any questions he might have.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, next Tuesday is Election Day in many States and localities around the country. Given that we are scheduled to be in session and voting, how do you think votes might be structured that day in order to accommodate Members who have elections in their States?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I will tell my col-

leagues that the State I represent is nearly 3,000 miles away, and we are having a very important election in our State. I have voted by absentee ballot. I did that just this week and sent my ballot in.

We have a great deal of work to do, not only on Tuesday but next week, so we will be meeting here, and we have the items that I mentioned, the measures that will be considered under suspension, we have the very important Secure Access to Justice and Court Protection Act that needs to be considered, and we just have to proceed with our work.

So let me say that we do want every Member to participate in those elections, but I suspect that, in light of the fact that we will have so much work to do here, that Members should plan to be in Washington during the day on Tuesday.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, could the chairman tell me what appropriations conference reports we might expect to see on the floor next week?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, as I said in my remarks earlier today, the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, the gentleman from California (Mr. LEWIS), has done an absolutely phenomenal job in moving these measures through. As you know, we have just voted on the foreign operations appropriations bill conference report, and we have three conference groups that are continuing to meet on Energy and Water, the Science, State, Justice, and Commerce appropriation bill, and the Military Quality of Life appropriations conference report.

From the conversation I have had with the chairman of the committee, it is his hope that we have those three measures up for consideration at some point next week.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Does the gentleman have any idea of which days they may go to conference?

Mr. DREIER. All three of those bills that I mentioned, the Energy and Water conference report and the Science, State, Justice, and Commerce conference report, and Military Quality of Life, they are all in conference as we speak. It is our hope that those conferences will be completed and those conference reports will be filed in the House. We cannot anticipate exactly when their work will be completed. We just want it to happen as expeditiously as possible.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, on which day next week might we consider the budget reconciliation bill that calls for more than \$50 billion in mandatory spending cuts?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, as I think the gentleman heard in my announcement, I announced that it is our anticipation, we anticipate that we will consider what we call the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, and it is a measure which we will be working on next week.

Late next week or the middle to the latter part of next week, we anticipate

a vote here in the House on that very important measure that is designed to try and reduce the deficit and reform government and ensure that we can do the very important work that the American people sent us here to do.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Is the gentleman suggesting that we not call it mandatory spending cuts? You are calling it reduction, budget reduction?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, what this deals with is mandatory spending, and what this deals with is the deficit challenge which Democrats and Republicans regularly decry here, and we are trying to focus on the reduction of the deficit, and that is the reason that we are appropriately referring to this as the Deficit Reduction Act.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman.

I would further like to inquire about the majority plan to extend the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act. This is an important issue that has had broad bipartisan support in the past, and action is needed because it is scheduled to expire on December 31.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I will say that this very important legislation, which I personally support, is at this moment being discussed by the leadership of the Financial Services Committee. They are working with the Department of the Treasury and Members in the leadership of the Banking Committee on the other side of the aisle, and we do hope very much that we will be able to put together a legislative package that can be considered before that very important December 31 date to which my friend referred.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I really want to thank the gentleman for being patient. I understand that our Financial Services Committee Democrats stand ready to work on a bipartisan basis on this, and they have asked for a markup as soon as possible. Since time is running out, I would like to ask my colleague why we could not simply take up H.R. 1153, a bill that is a largely bipartisan product that the Financial Services Committee reported last fall, but never acted upon.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I would say that we are taking that into consideration. We do not have it scheduled at this moment, but I appreciate my friend's raising this issue, and we will certainly take it under advisement and look at your recommendation, I hope from your perspective, favorably.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, NOVEMBER, 7, 2005

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday next for morning hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DENT). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?